**Recommendation 1.1 **

Recommendation to the center managements to implement measures to actively encourage the use of ORCID by their staff

Description

[Status: Under development, Date: 2023-08-23, Version: 001]

Motivation for this Recommendation:

The Helmholtz Association is determined to make their data available according to the FAIR principles, thus making it findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable. In order to achieve interoperability of datasets among various data infrastructures (DIS) within the Helmholtz Association, a common and agreed procedure to refer to people within and across the DIS is needed.

In order to be able to uniquely and sustainably identify both researchers and employees in data infrastructures and repositories in the Helmholtz Association, the respective person should always be referenced with a persistent identifier (PID) (see recommendation M0).

For the Helmholtz Association we recommend to use ORCID to refer to people and contributors to resources in data infrastructures and repositories of the Helmholtz Association wherever possible (see recommendation M1.0). To be able to implement this measure, several activities need to be conducted by different stakeholder groups. This recommendation M1.1. calls for activity of the center managements.

Recommendation

It is recommended, that center management should 1. Employ measures and incentives to actively encourage all employees to register with ORCID and to keep their metadata current and share the relevant parts of this data with the center. 2. Keep record of their staffs ORCIDs and share them with the RDM teams, where appropriate, in order to record ORCIDs with their output.

Binding Convention:

	mandatory	**conditional**	**optional**
Helmholtz FAIR Principle		mandatory if ORCID is available	

Precondition for Implementation:

Precondition 1: The ORCID Registry is available for all researchers, maintained and further developed.

Related Recommendations

Parent: 1.0

Dependent: none

Other: 1.2, 1.3

Contributors

Emanuel Söding (HMC@GEOMAR), Andrea Pörsch (HMC@GFZ)

Content

1. Explanation of the Background and Benefits of the Recommendation

1. Explanation of the Background and Benefits of the Recommendation According to recommendation 1.0 the use of ORCID is recommended in all Helmholtz Data Infrastructures to identify people and contributors to resources in data infrastructures and repositories of the Helmholtz Association wherever possible.

See recommendation 1.0 for more information on why ORCID is recommended for use within the Helmholtz Association.

According to the terms of use ORCIDs can only be registered and maintained by their owners, hence by researchers or people for themselves. The registration and maintenance are free. Users may choose what data they wish to deploy with their ORICD registration and which of that data should be made public. Users can also grant permission to certain agents, to update their ORCID data in various aspects, i.e. publishers may ask for permission to link publications with ORCIDs and DOIs, or organizations may link their members with ORICD records to identify them.

Organizations cannot enforce the use or ORCIDs by their employees and cannot register ORCIDs for them. This creates the dilemma, that they cannot rely on the existence of ORCIDs for every employee.

In order to make use of ORCIDs as a reference to people, they must therefore actively create incentives for the employees to maintain their ORICD records and also to grant permission to keep records and update relevant data to the organization relating to their work. These relations may e.g. be publications of articles, data sets, software developed or maintained, the technical responsibility for machines or labs.

Organizations must also implement administrative processes, to keep record of the ORCIDs of their employees where granted permission to do so. This can e.g. be done within the personnel department and / or the libraries, in order to be able to refer to employees by ORCID and to know, what permissions were granted to the organization to use the ORCID.

Motivation

The Helmholtz Association is determined to make their data available according to the FAIR principles, thus making it findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable. In order to achieve interoperability of datasets among various data infrastructures (DIS) within the Helmholtz Association, a common and agreed procedure to refer to people within and across the DIS is needed.

In order to be able to uniquely and sustainably identify both researchers and employees in data infrastructures and repositories in the Helmholtz Association, the respective person should always be referenced with a persistent identifier (PID) (see recommendation 1.0).

To be able to implement this measure, several activities need to be conducted by different stakeholder groups. This recommendation 1.1. calls for activity of the center managements / organizations.

2. Possible alternative solutions

Besides ORCID other PID systems for autors of scientific publications exist. The most relevant are Scopus Author ID, Researcher ID / PublonsID, ISNI, Wikidata ID.

We will not discuss the pro’s and con’s of each PID system here in detail. We found, however, that ORCID offers the best solution in terms of stability, reliability, cost efficiency and widespread application of the PID systems mentioned above.

3. Consideration of the advantages and disadvantages of implementing the recommendation

a. Suggested procedures to be implemented

- Organizations should adopt a policy, encouraging all employees to register an ORCID and publish relevant data on their employer with their ORCID.
- During the hiring process organizations should ask all employees about their ORCID, take record of the ID if it exists, or encourage them to register one if it doesn't. They should also encourage the future employees to update their ORICD with current affiliations, and publish the relevant data.
- The organzations should share this information with the libraries and data management teams during the internal onboarding process of the employee.

b. Incentives

- the use of ORCID allows to automate the aquisition of metadata with publications and data sets. Authors may therefore save significant time and work by avoiding to submit metadata about themselves and their employers. i.e. when submitting an ORICD as an author or contributor

reference the respective public metadata can be retrieved from ORCID and doesn't have to be provided separately. Proper attribution of publications and datasets could improve the employees internal publication record.
- It is recommended, to acknowledge data publications in a similar manner as scientific publications in journals, which means, that they count towards all employees annual achievements and count against performance based funding schemes.

c. Data Privacy

- data privacy managers should be informed about the way, ORICD is encouraged by the center management. Data privacy officers should approve the procedures implemented to manage ORCID in the centers.

d. ORCID not present

- In cases where an ORCID is not available for a person, we recommend the following procedure:
  - leave the field empty [this recommendation could be improved]
  - encourage person to register an ORCID

4. The Recommendation

It is recommended, that center managements should

1. Employ measures and incentives to actively encourage all employees to register with ORCID and to keep their metadata current and share the relevant parts of this data with the center.

2. Keep record of their staffs ORCIDs and share them with the RDM teams, where appropriate, in order to record ORCIDs with their output.

Note 1: regarding activity 1, there exist multiple possible ways to encourage employees to register and maintain their ORCIDs. These could e.g. be sharing information about the benefits of traceable information for the center and science as a whole, benefits for their department, by being able to track the departments scientific output, benefits to themselves, for not repeatedly being asked for permissions to use their data. It is also possible, that prices or rewards are issued to persons sharing their data. Due to the many way this can be envisioned, particular implementation scenarios will be collected below.

Note 2: regarding activity 2 we recommend, to make the collection of the ORCID, the granting of permission and the agreement to use and update the ORCID data a structural part of the employment process conducted by the personnel department. Forms may be developed registering the necessary data and signing off on the use, informational leavlets may inform the person about the circumstances, how and where the data is used, and how they can revoke permissions to use it. These procedures should comply with the legal requirements in data protection e.g. the GDPR (DSGVO). The processes implemented will be briefly documented below.

5. Naming of communities that have already implemented the recommendation

- Center has employed what procedure (describe) or incentive (describe) to encourage

employees to use ORCID.
- Center has employed measures to keep record of their staff's ORCIDs and permissions.

6. Documentation of the test to validate correct implementation

7. Examples of Instances

(DataCite: XML / JSON, ISO: XML, Schema.org ... [open / still to be done])

a) DataCite Metadata Schema 4.4, Released 30 Mar 2021
https://schema.datacite.org/meta/kernel-4.4/example/datacite-example-affiliation-v4.xml [Website opened on 2022-1-18]

8. Further Information

References

[1] ORCID Terms of use: https://info.orcid.org/terms-of-use/

Relevant Community Recommendations

9. History of this document

From:
https://earth-and-environment.helmholtz-metadaten.de/wiki/ - **HMC Earth and Environment Community Wiki**

Permanent link:
https://earth-and-environment.helmholtz-metadaten.de/wiki/doku.php?id=wiki:m1.1&rev=1702917226

Last update: **2023/12/18 16:33**