** Implementation K1**

PIDInst

Use Case 1: GEOMAR

Status

Sure — here is the PIDInst summary in the same style:

PIDInst identifiers for instruments are **currently not captured** at GEOMAR

- There is **no established process or system** in place for assigning or managing PIDInst identifiers
- Potential responsibility is unclear, but likely involves technical and data management staff (e.g. Claas and others)
- There are currently **no documented workflows or institutional guidelines** for PIDInst usage

* A key challenge is the **lack of initial implementation and system integration** * This includes missing connections to:

- Instrument registries
- Internal systems (e.g. OSIS or repositories)

Outlook

Establishing a clear responsibility structure, workflows, and technical integration is a necessary first step toward implementation.

Use Case 2: Geoforschungszentrum Potsdam (GFZ)

What is the current status?

Where shall we go?

Use Case 3: Helmholtzzentrum für Umweltforschung (UFZ)

Implementation Group ORCID:

HMC: Andrea, Manu

UFZ: Johann, Ronny

What is the current status in capturing PIDs? Who is responsible for ORCID?

What tools do we need or are involved?

What are the next steps?

Use Case 4: KIT

What is the current status?

Where shall we go?

Use Case 5: Hereon

What is the current status?

Where shall we go?

Use Case 6: AWI

What is the current status?

Where shall we go?

Use Case 7: FZJ

What is the current status?

Where shall we go?

From:
https://earth-and-environment.helmholtz-metadaten.de/wiki/ - **HMC Earth and Environment Community Wiki**

Permanent link:
https://earth-and-environment.helmholtz-metadaten.de/wiki/doku.php?id=wiki:3_implementation:0_pid:pidinst&rev=1776270916

Last update: **2026/04/15 16:35**